UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/512,004	05/25/2005	Socrates Tzartos	593.1.003	2323
Allan D Vinnas	7590 10/02/2007		EXAM	INER
Allen R Kipnes Watov & Kipnes PO Box 247 Princeton Junction, NJ 08550			BRANNOCK, MICHAEL T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, 1.0 0000		1649	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		10/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

The state of the s	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/512,004	TZARTOS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Michael Brannock	1649				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir-  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
	0005					
(1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 May 2005</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
i closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4:	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-41</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 11-41 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	annon troto tro attacrica crisco	7.00.011.011111.11.01.102.				
<u> </u>						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	travalant and					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		٠				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	in the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/512,004

Art Unit: 1649

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 11-36, drawn to methods of immunoabsorption, apparatus for such, and methods of making the apparatus.

Group II, claim(s) 37-41, drawn to recombinant domains of a subunit of a primate muscle nicotinic AChR.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the invention of group II was known in the art before the claimed priority date of the instant application. Beeson-D et al. Eur. J. Biochem. 215(229-238)1993 disclose the primary structures, including domains, of recombinant human beta, gamma, delta, and epsilon subunits of the muscle nicotinic AChR, see Fig. 4. Thus, the technical feature shared between Groups I and II is not a "special" technical feature under the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2, and unity of invention is lacking.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

recombinant domains of the human beta, gamma, delta, and epsilon subunits of the muscle nicotinic AChR

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify

Application/Control Number: 10/512,004

Art Unit: 1649

Page 3

the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claim 38: beta

Claim 39: gamma

Claim 40: delta

Ciaiiii 40. ucita

Claim 41: epsilon

The following claim(s) are generic: 37.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: as discussed above Beeson-D et al. disclose the primary structures, including domains, of recombinant human beta, gamma, delta, and epsilon subunits of the muscle nicotinic AChR, see Fig. 4. Thus, the technical feature shared between Groups I and II is not a "special" technical feature under the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2, and unity of invention is lacking.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Art Unit: 1649

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 5

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brannock, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0869. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan, can be reached at (571) 272-0841. Official papers filed by fax should be directed to 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

MB

September 28, 2007

/Elizabeth C. Kemmerer/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1646